

MERAB BABUKHADIA

ON THE DIACHRONIC PREMISE OF N.MARR'S PALEONTOLOGICAL ANALYSIS

Paleontological analysis should consider most ancient and modern states of language without isolation from the phased thought. Since the beginning of the history of the question attention has been drawn towards the eponimic characters, place-names and tribal names which have been collected and sorted according to common stems all over the Mediterranean, including the Black Sea; the Caucasia, and Asia Minor. By way of paleontological manipulations twelve tribal names have been reduced to the four basic elements.

It would not correspond to reality if the four elements were posed on the one synchronic section. Such simultaneousness of formation is misleading. The paleontological analysis distinguishing the very ancient simultaneous four elements produces this illusion. The historical distance between languages does not affect on the development of these elements.